## Preliminary Workpaper Review

Workpaper ID	PGECOLTG165 r6	Title	LED A-Lamp						
WPA Location and Fil PGE Stated Scope of Submittal:	Excluded all buildin Changed ROB meas Added new measur LT394 for 40W equ LT395 for 40W equ LT396 for 60W equ LT397 for 100W eq Added ER measure	ng types for ROB me sures to Res DI only re codes for ROB as iivalent 110 LPW, iivalent 120 LPW, iivalent 120 LPW f uivalent 120 LPW f	y. s follows: for ROB.	s″.		PGECOLTG165 R6 LED A- LampsEDReportsCorrected.zip			
Submitted Date	Effective 7/1/2018. 5/7/2018 3rd Party WP? No								
Response Date	5/22/2018	15 # days since	submission		olete?				
Submitted Files Pass						Documents			
Yes <mark>No</mark> N/A	Narrative Workpaper	N/ NI-/A	Data in Ex Ante Format	Yes <mark>No</mark>	N/A	Supporting Documents			
To pass the prelim	inary review, items					rked No, please address comments in order to	- ec-		
		comp	plete the workpap	er submitta			Prospec- tive?		
Narrative Workpaper	Review Comments						4 1		
Program Implementations and Measure Types	Workpaper is a final revision of the Phase 1 submission and includes measures with NR/NC and AR measure application types. The NR/NC measures are approved as submitted. The AR measures are not approved. See further discussion below for required additional information and/or acceptable revisions. <u>AR Measure Definitions:</u> The AR measures define a specific pre-existing baseline, in terms of lamp wattage, along with a specific measure wattage lamp. Pre-existing baselines consist of the legacy EISA wattage values of 40, 60, 75 and 100 watt. As of 1/1/2014, these lamps were not allowed to be sold in California. Furthermore, EISA equivalent halogen lamps of 29, 43, 53 and 72 watts have not been allowed for sale in California as of 1/1/2018. It is unlikely that there are legacy incandescent and halogen lamps still in service in high use scokets, unless similar technology lamps were stored from prior purchases. The EAR team recommends adding measures that assume the halogen wattage baseline or replacing the incandescent baseline with a halogen baseline unless contractors can be demonstrated to be able to accurately differentiate between the two in their documentation and reporting. There are only a limited number of CFL wattages defined for baselines in the workpaper, yet the range of installed wattages is likely much broader. Also, the measures are a limited number of specific wattages, indicating that programs are only allowed to install lamps of that specific wattages are established when the actual existing wattage or the installed measure wattage do not match the measure definition. These requirements shall be written in such a way that the delta watts cannot be overestimated. Alternatively, measures can be added to the workpaper to cover additional likely cases, such as the EISA halogen base wattages; greater number of CFL base wattages; and greater number of measure LED wattages.								
UES code / standard practice	Accelerated Replacement Measures Require 2nd Baseline and RUL: The AR measures must also include a second baseline and an RUL. The second baseline represents the expected standard practice at the end of the RUL period. It is reasonable to assume that the second baseline is equal to the standard practice baseline directed in the disposition (25% CFL/75% LED), using the approved delta watts values for each lamp wattage and efficacy from the Phase 1 disposition. See the RUL discussion below.								
UES calculation methods	Accelerated Replacement Measures Require 2nd Baseline and RUL: Revise measure definitions to include a second baseline. It is reasonable to assume that the second baseline is equal to the standard practice baseline directed in the disposition (25% CFL/75% LED), using the approved delta watts values for each lamp wattage and efficacy from the Phase 1 disposition.								
EUL/RUL	Accelerated Replacement Measures Require 2nd Baseline and RUL: Per D.12-05-015 the RUL shall be based on the removed technology, not the installed measure technology and the default RUL is equal to 1/3 of the accepted EUL, which would make the RUL for these measures just over 1 year for CFL and less than 1 year for incandescent existing conditions baselines. Longer RUL values would assume that customers would continue to purchase less efficient lamps (if still available for purchase) or had some of the inefficient lamps in storage that they would continue to use if the existing lamp were to fail instead of being replaced by the program implementer. CPUC staff approves, on an interim base, a RUL of 3 years for the specific case of direct install lamp removal and replacement for the 2018 program year only. In 2019 and beyond, absent Commission staff reviewed and approved research that support the continued is of the extended RUL value, the value shall be set to 1 year.								

Cost methods

Workpaper ID	PGECOLTG165 r6	Title	LED A-Lamp	
				PGECOLTG165 R6 LED A-
WPA Location and	File Name:	https://deeresour	rces.info/wpa/projects/14	320 LampsEDReportsCorrected.zip
Other	application type. T program intervent lamps. Therefore, lamps and thus cau incandescent/halo are to be verified t	actors must collect he extended RUL a ion, customers wou direct install contra ndidates to be repla gen/CFL replaceme o have been appro	and first period savings aut uld continue to install lam actors shall be directed to lacements upon burnout o ent lamps shall result in th	all removed lamps to support their using the AR measure thorized herein are based on the assumption that, without ps similar in performance to the less efficient pre-existing collect all stored lamps that are similar to the pre-existing f the pre-existing lamps; failure to collect stored e extended RUL value being disallowed. All collected lamps ure no continued later use; failure to provide proof of reated as ROB/NR.

Ex Ante Data I	Review Comments	
MeasureCatal	og	
Table		
Measure Table	e	
EnergyImpact	Table	
Measure Cost	Table	
All	Note that Commission staff will review ex ante data upon resubmission addressing this preliminary review.	
Supporting Do	ocumentation Comments	
1		
2		

supporting Documentation Comments	
1	
2	

Kevin Madison Reviewer:

Contact Info:

kevinmadisonmeps@gmail.com